

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/615,073	(07/08/2003	Bernard N. Spiegelberg	010686-0167	010686-0167 7914	
26371	7590	07/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY &	LARDNE	ER		TOLAN, EDWA	ARD THOMAS	
777 EAST V	VISCONS:	IN AVENUE				
SUITE 3800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308				3725		

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/615,073	SPIEGELBERG, I	BERNARD N.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Tolan Edward	3725						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	US SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .							
<u> </u>	action is non-final.							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-19 and 23 is/are pending in the app	lication.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 23</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	`						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	Г О-1 52.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
Attachment(s)	. □	(DTO 445)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ⊠ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(P10-413) ate. <u>4-21-2005</u> .						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P)-152 ⁾					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-26-2005	6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/615,073

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1,10 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendments to claims 1 and 10 include "a inner portion", "a first width", "a second width" and "the outer portion". New claim 23 includes language concerning "channels". None of this language is used in the specification, no description concerning width of the rings is given, the channels are not set forth in the specification.

Priority

Applicant should provide an amendment to the specification inserting Patent No. 6,644,084 into the blank space in the priority information.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,073

Art Unit: 3725

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-26 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,644,084. This is a double patenting rejection.

The 6,644,084 patent claims an apparatus and method for transforming at least one ring on a battery terminal having a first shape into a second different shape with an undercut or overhang when the battery terminal and a rolling tool are rotated relative to each other.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,073

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525, FAX responses should be sent to 703-872-9306.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4